

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/684,616	HASHIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2673	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Scott A. Elchert (applicants' rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005
Time: 17:03
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to amend claim 12 to clearly define the variables "n" and "m" and to correct minor informalities present in the claim. Further, since the proposed drawing correction filed on 2/24/2005 does not include marking "Replacement Sheet" on a separate sheet in compliance with section 1.84, applicants' representative agreed to amend the drawing (Fig. 8) to include the marking. The amendments to claim 12 and the drawing are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.